April 25, 1975

all we're saying is that the bill or statement must reflect the true amount charged to the client. That's all we're saying.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Marsh . . . Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Thank you Lieutenant Governor . . . I can't remember.

PRESIDENT: Thank you Senator Marsh in trying to call on Senator Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Have you glasses checked Mr. Chairman. I want to commend Senator Dworak. He cleaned up that very bad bill he had before. This looks almost decent. I'm going to support it in spite of the fact he's got a bad veting record. I think that he has solved the problems some of us had raised on this bill. I'd urge that it be advanced.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? The question is the adoption of the amendment to 310. Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 2 nays.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Now, Mr. President, there's an amendment offered by Senator Lewis . . . Senator Duis as follows: (read amendment found on page 1466 in Journal).

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, I move the adoption of the amendment. The thing here I'm trying to figure out is, Senator Dworak, who are you trying to make responsible for the rebate of these fees, the abstractor or the other person?

SENATOR DWORAK: Well the one who makes the bills, the one who makes up the statements which would be the abstractor, would have to say . . . or whoever makes up the statement, whoever makes up the bill or the statement all we're saying is that person must put on that statement the true amount due or charged to the client. That's all we're saying and that's what you're trying to strike.

SENATOR DUIS: What I'm trying to put here is if the abstractor allows a rebate then he is the one that's in trouble. That's . . . I think he's the one that the fault falls on, not the other person.

SENATOR DWORAK: All we're saying is whoever makes the statement up, whoever bills the client, whether it's the abstractor, the realtor or whoever put the true amount of the amount to the client. That's all we're asking. That's all we're stating. That's what you're trying to strike which kind of takes out the . . . You don't think the client should know the true amount.